



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/532.623	04/25/2005	Helmuth Eggers	3926.142	4748 ·	
30448 AKERMAN SI	30448 7590 06/06/2007 AKERMAN SENTERFITT P.O. BOX 3188			EXAMINER	
				LEE, KYUNG S	
WEST PALM	BEACH, FL 33402-3188		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2832		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/06/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/532,623	EGGERS ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Richard K. Lee	2832			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address			
VVHIO - Exte afte - If NO - Failt Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Dons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period oure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC c, cause the application to become	ICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 M	lay 2006.				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) 8-19 is/are pending in the application					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
•	Claim(s) <u>8-19</u> is/are rejected.		•			
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•			
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on 25 April 2005 is/are: a)	⊠ accepted or b)☐ obj	ected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document2. Certified copies of the priority document		Application No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior					
	application from the International Bureau	· ·				
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	t received.			
Attachmer	, ,					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s(s)/Mail Date			
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>0506</u> .		Informal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/532,623 Page 2

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claims 3, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claims 3 and 6 are contradictory since, claim 3 recites "switching stages that cannot latch" and claim 6 recites "latch."
- 4. The term "further illumination means" in claim 7 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "further illumination means" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lindae et al. DE3923316 (submitted by applicant).

Application/Control Number: 10/532,623 Page 3

Art Unit: 2832

7. Lindae teaches a switch for actuating a first lighting system fro emitting a visible light with a low beam and a high beam (col. 2, line 23), and a second lighting system for emitting an infrared (col. 2, line 31);

a single switch with a number of switching stages (col. 3, lines 21-27) for actuating the lighting systems; and

the arrangement of the stages are fixed such that the switching stages representing the full beam can only be switched on after the switching stage of the second illumination system as been activated (col. 2, lines 24-31).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 14 to 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lindae in view of Bernard FR2818933 (submitted by applicant).

Lindae teaches the claimed invention except for the switch having a switching stage that cannot latch and be held manually at a respective position. Bernard teaches a switch device having a switch stage that cannot latch tight and can be held manually at a respective position, for the purpose of signaling a turn or actuating a high beam. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide with non-latching switching stage for the purpose of actuating one of signaling a turn or actuating a high beam.

Regarding claims 16 and 17, Bernard teaches the switch being mounted rotatable and mounted by means of a swivel axis.

10. Claims 8, 12, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lindae in view of Wolfe, US Pat. 6,288,492 (submitted by applicant).

Lindae teaches the claimed invention except for the switching stages actuated in one direction and also offer a pilot light. Wolfe teaches a lighting switch having the switching stages actuated in one direction for the purpose of controlling nine different lighting stages. Wolfe also teaches plurality of visual indicators (fig. 1) to provide feedback to the user. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a lighting switch having the switching stages actuated in one direction as taught by Wolfe since it would provide the user with manually controlling nine different lighting stages for a vehicle. Visual indicators provide obvious advantages.

Regarding claim 8, Wolfe, teaches additional light settings such as a fog lamp, for a second lighting system.

11. Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lindae in view of Wolfe as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of Bernard.

Lindae and Wolfe teach the claimed invention except for the switch mounting structure.

Bernard discusses claimed mounting structures.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard K. Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-1994. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Tu and Th-F from 5:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/532,623 Page 5

Art Unit: 2832

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin G. Enad can be reached on (571) 272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Richard K. Lee

A at I in: + 2022